



Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 08/828,417

Mirasaki et al.

Paper No. ___8

Examiner

Group Art Unit

	J Hotalin	9 11	3713	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			<u> </u>
(1) J Hotaling II	_ (3) <u>Valencia N</u>	Martin-Wallace	•	
(2) Myron Greenspan Reg No 25,680				
Date of Interview Mar 15, 2000				
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to [□ applicant 🛛 ar	pplicant's repr	esentative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes	No. If yes, brief d	escription:		
Agreement was reached. was not reached.				
Claim(s) discussed: 23				
dentification of prior art discussed:				
Murata '743				
s stated in the final office action. No agreement was reach	hange in the language ta '743 does indeed	ge to claim 23 switch phras	es based on con	ited the cl
is stated in the final office action. No agreement was reach	hange in the language ta '743 does indeed hed.	ge to claim 23 switch phras	3 that futher limites based on con	ited the cl
is stated in the final office action. No agreement was reach	hange in the language ta '743 does indeed hed.	ge to claim 23 switch phras	3 that futher limites based on con	ited the cla ditions and
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendate claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy available, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	ments, if available, to of the amendents	which the exa	miner agreed wo render the claim	ditions and ditions allowed as allowed
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendate claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy available, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separateless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to AST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUITED TO THE ASTATEMENT OF THE	ments, if available, or of the contrary, A FODE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBST	which the exa which would stance of the RMAL WRITT E OF THE INT APPLICANT IS	miner agreed wo render the claim interview. EN RESPONSE TERVIEW. (See N. G. GIVEN ONE MC/IEW.	ould rende is allowab
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend ne claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no cop s available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ments, if available, where the contrary, A FODE THE SUBSTANCE Of the Subst	which the exa which would stance of the RMAL WRITT FOR THE INT APPLICANT IS F THE INTERV ents) reflects a in the last Of e response rec of the interv	miner agreed wo render the claim interview. EN RESPONSE TERVIEW. (See Note of the claim of the c	ould render is allowable. TO THE MPEP DNTH Inse to since the elast above
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendance claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy available, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separateless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to AST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUIPMENT OF THE ASTATEMENT OF	ments, if available, where the contrary, A FODE THE SUBSTANCE of that may be present t	which the exa which would stance of the RMAL WRITT APPLICANT IS THE INTERVENTS) reflects a in the last Of e response red of the intervents of the intervents.	miner agreed wo render the claim interview. EN RESPONSE TERVIEW. (See Note of the complete response of the complete respo	ould renders allowable of THE MPEP ONTH conse to since the last above

Interview Summary